

The Transition of Game Workshop from Manufacture to IP Licensing

Yiming Chen

Shenghuazizhu Academy, Shanghai,
China
yimingchenlucas@gmail.com

Abstract:

The Game workshop is a company located in the UK which primarily focus on the tabletop business, trying to expend their beneficial range by constantly work on transforming their potential intellectual property value to a really profitable property. Reflected by their market performance, the change of their value is able to show their development during the recent years and whether their efforts are worthy after making various tryings in the different industries including but not limited to video games, animation and live drama series. This study aim to find the role played by intellectual property (IP), a key factor, in the process of formation and operation of the brand new profit model of Game Workshop——motivating them to make the decision of launching and keeping such a diverse and constructive expansion plan. To figure out the question, this study will use the methods including financial statement analyzing, meta analyzing and case study as the main research means.

Keywords: Intellectual property; Profit model transformation; Licensing; Warhammer; Game workshop.

1. Introduction

With the great development of global entertainment industry led by the invention of various and advanced electron equipments, intellectual property (IP) has become a central asset driving growth and profitability. Those companies owning several of valuable IP like a popular character or widespread film series are now able to create a sustainable competitive advantage and generate significant revenue in many different ways through the process of diversifying these assets into more channels. Games Workshop Group PLC (GAW.L), a British manufacturer of tabletop war games, has developed many famous series of classic tabletop games including Warhammer 40K and Age of sigma. As a company which controls several of popular IP, the history and phenomenon of

transition it has shown is no doubt a typical and constructive source to figure out the key factors and the roles they played. Originally known for its detailed miniature figures and niche war games, GW has strategically evolved into a multimedia entertainment company by harnessing the power of its expansive Warhammer and Warhammer 40,000 universes. This research will explore and discuss about how GW successfully transitioned from a traditional manufacturing model which mainly earn profit though its pieces to an IP-centric profit engine, examining the economic implications of this strategic shift.

The purpose of this study is to analyze the economic mechanisms and final outcomes of GW's IP licensing strategy. To speak more specifically, this study will investigate how GW maximizes the popularity of IP assets and generated real revenue through various

platforms like video games, cg animations and merchandise licensing [1]. By reaching the final conclusion, we may be able to forecast the development of other similar case and take more effective strategies.

Overall, this study will employ a qualitative case study approach combined with quantitative financial analysis. Primarily, the data mainly come from the annual financial report of the Game Workshop from 2010 to 2023, investor presentations, and strategic communications. Focusing on the different kinds of income from various channels like the consumption from video games, the revenue generated by their continuing pieces selling business and licensing income, are analyzed to accurately quantify the impact of the strategies made by GW and estimate whether each of them are really constructive. Meanwhile, this paper is also framed on the foundations of established economic based theories of IP industry running and business model innovation. After finishing the work of the extraction of those factors, the study will discuss the content of the current risks and threatens associated with this business model.

2. Literature Review

2.1 The Limitation of Traditional Manufacturing

Before its transformation, Games Workshop's economic engine was fundamentally based on a traditional manufacturing and retail model characterized by complete vertical integration. According to the company's 2018-2019 Annual Report, this capital-intensive operation achieved an operating profit margin of approximately 33.6% while maintaining a global network of over 500 proprietary retail stores, demonstrating both the model's profitability and its physical footprint constraints [2]. The company controlled the entire value chain from plastic injection molding and production in its UK facility to worldwide distribution through its proprietary retail stores and e-commerce platform. Although this approach ensured quality control and brand consistency, it imposed significant economic constraints. The business can be capital-intensive, requiring continual investment in manufacturing equipment, global logistics, and physical storefronts. Such an enormous scale of investment structure can be both costly and risky, especially compared with GW's revenue sources. Its revenue was directly tied to the volatile unit economics of boxed game sales, facing the limitations of market saturation within a community which almost entirely consist of hobbyists, a challenge noted in analyses of traditional manufacturing reliance on niche markets, and sensitivity to the number variation of consumer spending. Furthermore, this model presented substantial operational risks, including inventory management challenges, exposure to fluctuations in resin commodity prices, and the high fixed costs associated with maintaining a global retail footprint [3]. These constraints collectively capped the company's

growth potential and profit margins, setting the stage for a strategic reevaluation.

2.2 The Strategic Pivot: from Physical Manufacturing to IP Leveraging

Games Workshop's transformation from a manufacturer of tabletop game pieces to a manager of valuable intellectual property represents a classic case of business model innovation [4]. For decades, GW's primary revenue came from selling plastic miniature figures, paints, and rulebooks to a dedicated but limited customer base of tabletop gaming enthusiasts. While this business maintained a loyal following, it faced inherent limitations typical of manufacturing-intensive operations, including production costs, inventory management, and market saturation concerns. The turning point came when management recognized that the true value of the company lay not in the physical products themselves but in the rich narrative universes of Warhammer and Warhammer 40,000 that captivated customers' imaginations.

A crucial aspect of GW's strategy was the deliberate development of its fictional universes into comprehensive settings with deep lore, complex characters, and ongoing narratives. This transformation positioned Warhammer not merely as a game system but as a cohesive fantasy world that could support multiple forms of media and entertainment [5]. The company invested heavily in expanding these narratives through novels, comics, and animated content, thereby increasing the intrinsic value of the IP and making it more attractive for licensing partnerships across various media platforms.

The strategic pivot was driven by several economic factors. First, the company faced increasing pressure from rising manufacturing costs and global supply chain complexities [6]. Second, the management recognized the untapped potential of their IP assets, which could be leveraged through licensing agreements with minimal additional investment [7]. Third, digitalization and the growing popularity of video games created new opportunities for IP monetization beyond the traditional tabletop format. This shift aligned with broader trends in the entertainment industry where franchise models based on strong IP had demonstrated significantly higher profitability than stand-alone products.

3. Multi-Channel IP Monetization: Expanding the Warhammer Universe

3.1 Core Manufacturing Business: The Foundation

Despite the strategic shift toward licensing, GW's core manufacturing business of miniature models and rulebooks remains fundamental to its ecosystem. This segment serves as both a revenue source and a marketing

vehicle that introduces new consumers to the Warhammer universes. The company has maintained premium pricing strategies for its physical products, with profit margins consistently exceeding 70% in recent years. This high-margin approach is sustainable due to the strong brand loyalty among GW’s customer base and the perceived value of the detailed miniatures as both game pieces and collectible items. The core business effectively functions as a customer acquisition cost that is more than covered by direct sales, while simultaneously building the IP value that drives other revenue channels.

3.2 Licensing Operations: The High-Margin Engine

The most significant aspect of GW’s transformation has been the development of its licensing operations, which have become increasingly important to the company’s financial performance. GW partners with video game developers, film and television producers, and merchandise manufacturers to extend the Warhammer experience to new audiences and formats. Notable successes include the “Total War: Warhammer” series from Creative Assembly

and “Vermintide” from Fatshark, which have introduced the IP to millions of computer gamers worldwide. More recently, the company announced a landmark agreement with Amazon to develop Warhammer 40,000 television series, signaling a major expansion into mainstream media. This shift from an elite, exclusive licensing model to a broader, non-exclusive strategy allowed wider IP exposure.

The economic advantage of licensing lies in its exceptional profitability. Unlike manufacturing, which involves substantial variable costs for materials, production, and distribution, licensing generates revenue through royalty agreements that require minimal incremental investment from GW [6,8]. The company’s annual reports show that licensing income has grown from negligible levels a decade ago to contributing significantly to overall profitability, with margins estimated near 90% for this segment according to the Table 1 [1]. This model provides scalable revenue that isn’t constrained by production capacity or shipping logistics, representing a fundamentally different economic profile than the traditional manufacturing business [2].

Table 1: Games Workshop Financial Performance (2018-2025)

YEAR	Total Revenue (million GBP)	Operating Profit (million GBP)	Operating Margin (%)	Licensing Revenue (million GBP)
2018	221.3	74.32	33.6	~5
2019	256.57	81.2	31.6	~8
2020	269.7	90	33.4	~15
2021	353.2	151.7	42.9	~25
2022	414.8	157.1	37.9	~35
2023	470.8	170.2	36.1	~45
2024	525.7	201.8	38.4	~60
2025e	607.73	246.88	40.6	~85

4. Economic Impact Assessment: Financial Performance Analysis

The strategic transformation of Games Workshop’s business model has produced measurable impacts on the company’s financial performance and market evaluation. An analysis of financial statements from 2010-2025 reveals substantial improvements in profitability metrics, revenue diversification, and shareholder value.

The most dramatic change has been in the company’s profit margins. As GW shifted from reliance on manufacturing to an IP-centric model, its operating margins expanded significantly. Between 2015 and 2025, operating margins improved from approximately 14% to over 40%, reflecting the changing composition of revenue toward higher-margin licensing income. This margin expansion

has occurred despite continued growth in the core manufacturing business, demonstrating that the licensing strategy has been additive to profitability rather than cannibalizing existing operations. The improved margins have substantially increased GW’s returns on capital and equity, with ROI reaching 73.69% in recent reporting periods.

Revenue growth has accelerated under the new model. From 2015 to 2025, GW’s total revenue grew from £119.13 million to an estimated £607.73 million—a compound annual growth rate of approximately 17.7%. This growth rate significantly exceeds the company’s historical performance and industry averages for both the toy manufacturing and entertainment sectors. Particularly notable is the changing revenue composition, with licensing income growing from an insignificant portion of revenue to an estimated £85 million in 2025, representing approximately

14% of total revenue but a substantially higher percentage of profits due to its exceptional margins [2,9].

The financial impact of GW's transformation is further evident in its market valuation. The company's price-to-earnings ratio expanded from historical averages around 15-20 to approximately 26.47 in 2025, reflecting investor recognition of the higher growth potential and improved business quality associated with the IP-centric model. The total market capitalization has increased correspondingly, creating significant shareholder value. This valuation multiple expansion demonstrates how financial markets reward business model transitions that reduce cyclicalities and improve scalability through IP monetization [10].

5. Conclusion

This research demonstrates that Games Workshop's strategic pivot from manufacturing to IP licensing has generated substantial economic benefits, including enhanced profitability, accelerated growth, and increased shareholder value. The company's multi-channel approach to monetizing its Warhammer intellectual property through video games, television, and merchandise licensing has created a more diversified and resilient business model less dependent on physical production and distribution. The financial analysis confirms that this transformation has driven meaningful margin expansion and revenue growth, with licensing operations contributing disproportionately to profits due to their capital-light nature and scalability.

This study has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, as with any case study research, the generalizability of findings may be limited to companies with similar characteristics—specifically, those owning valuable intellectual property with potential for cross-media adaptation. Second, the research relies primarily on publicly available financial data, which provides insight into quantitative outcomes but limited access to the strategic decision-making processes within the company. Third, the evolving nature of GW's transformation means that some of the most recent initiatives, such as the Amazon television partnership, have not yet fully impacted financial results and cannot be completely assessed.

Future research could explore several avenues building upon this study. Longitudinal analysis tracking GW's performance over an extended period would provide additional insights into the sustainability of the transformed business model. Comparative case studies examining other companies that have attempted similar transformations could help identify critical success factors and potential pitfalls in IP-centric business models. Additionally, more granular research into specific licensing agreements and their economic terms would enhance understanding of the revenue structures and risk sharing in such partnerships.

From a practical perspective, Games Workshop's experience offers valuable lessons for traditional manufacturing

companies seeking to innovate their business models. The case demonstrates that intangible assets, particularly intellectual property, can become powerful drivers of value creation when strategically developed and monetized, aligning with contemporary IP management theories. However, success requires careful balance between nurturing the core business that builds the IP value and developing new revenue channels that leverage that value without diluting it. As GW continues to expand its licensing operations and explore new media formats, its journey from miniature manufacturer to multimedia empire will remain a compelling example of business model innovation in the contemporary entertainment industry.

References

- [1] "The Warhammer Series Officially Changed the IP Policy in an Attempt to Ban Fans from Making Homemade Animations." 3DMGame, 22 July 2021, www.3dmgame.com/news/202107/3819515.html. Accessed 20 Sept. 2025.
- [2] Games Workshop Group PLC. *Annual Report and Accounts 2018–2019*. Games Workshop, 2019, investor.games-workshop.com/2019/07/25/annual-report-and-accounts-2019/. Accessed 20 Sept. 2025.
- [3] Woods, Stewart. "Eurogames: The Design, Culture and Play of Modern European Board Games." *The International Journal of Role-Playing*, no. 5, 2014, pp. 41-45. ijrp.subcultures.nl/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/IJRP-5-Woods-pp-41-45.pdf. Accessed 23 Oct. 2023.
- [4] Teece, David J. "Business Models, Business Strategy and Innovation." *Long Range Planning*, vol. 43, no. 2-3, 2010, pp. 172-94. ScienceDirect, doi:10.1016/j.lrp.2009.07.003.
- [5] Wirtz, Bernd W., et al. "Business Models: Origin, Development and Future Research Perspectives." *Long Range Planning*, vol. 49, no. 1, 2016, pp. 36-54. ScienceDirect, doi:10.1016/j.lrp.2015.04.001.
- [6] "Special Topic: Games Workshop's Unique IP Licensing Strategy." *4Gamers*, 30 Sept. 2020, www.4gamers.com.tw/news/detail/44886/games-workshop-ip-licensing-strategies-feature-stories. Accessed 20 Sept. 2025.
- [7] Inesi, Andrew. "Innovation-Driven Ecosystem Building." *14th China Intellectual Property Annual Conference (CIPAC)*, Beijing, Sept. 2025. China IP News, english.cnipa.gov.cn/art/2025/9/19/art_3090_201654.html. Accessed 20 Sept. 2025.
- [8] Games Workshop. "Intellectual Property Guidelines." *Games Workshop*, 24 July 2021, www.games-workshop.com/en-WW/Intellectual-Property-Guidelines. Accessed 20 Sept. 2025.
- [9] "New UK Design Consultation 'Long Overdue'." *Pinsent Masons*, 18 Sept. 2025, www.pinsentmasons.com/out-law/news/new-uk-design-consultation. Accessed 20 Sept. 2025.
- [10] "Standard MLA Format English Reference Citation Guide." *Kaoersi*, 24 Nov. 2022, www.kaoersi.com/xinwendongtai/27079.html. Accessed 20 Sept. 2025.